

\$~15

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ RFA 22/2019

RAJENDRA PRASAD AGARWAL

..... Appellant

Through: Mr. Ankur Sood, Advocate with Ms.
Romila Mandal, Advocate (M.
No.9990332052).

versus

SURENDER ARORA & ANR

..... Respondents

Through

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VALMIKI J. MEHTA

ORDER

% **11.01.2019**

Caveat No.14/2019

1. No one appears for the caveators. Caveat accordingly stands discharged.

+RFA No.22/2019 and C.M. Nos.907/2019(stay), 908/2019(additional documents) & 909-10/2019(exemption)

2. After arguments, this appeal is disposed of as not pressed but as per the request made on behalf of counsel for the appellant/defendant/tenant, it is observed that in case any amount has been paid by the appellant/defendant/tenant to the respondents/plaintiffs for the period from 1.11.2014 till the date of recovery of possession on 21.11.2016, such amount

will be liable to be adjusted for the money decree which has been passed in favour of the respondents/plaintiffs.

3. Appeal is accordingly disposed of in terms of aforesaid observations.

VALMIKI J. MEHTA, J

JANUARY 11, 2019

Ne