

\$~4

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 13737/2018

SUNDER LAL & ORS.

..... Petitioners

Through: Mr.Krishna Kumar, Mr.Siddharth Jha and
Mr.Prabhakar Thakur, Advocates

versus

NEW DELHI MUNICIPAL COUNCIL & ORS.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr.Sri Harsha Peechara, ASC, NDMC
with Mr.Aditya Vikram Singh, Asstt. SC,
NDMC with Ms.Vidhi Jain, Adv.
Ms.Jyoti Taneja, Advocate for R-5 and
R-6.
SI Naresh Kumar, PS Connaught Place.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S.SISTANI

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JYOTI SINGH

ORDER

%

25.01.2019

1. Petitioners claim to be regular Tehbazari holders and are squatting in and around Palika Bazar, Connaught Place, New Delhi. Being aggrieved by unauthorised street vendors having allowed to vend in the non-vending zone, has led to the filing of the present writ petition.

2. Counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are licensed street vendors and tehbazari holders and have been working for their livelihood at Palika Bazar, Connaught Place, New Delhi for more than three decades. It is further contended that the respondents had allowed illegal and unauthorised street vendors to occupy vending sites at Connaught Place despite the fact that a consistent stand has been taken by the respondent NDMC that the area in question is a 'no hawking' and no 'vending zone'. Counsel for the petitioners has placed reliance on para 30 of the writ petition in support of his contention

that these unauthorised vendors and vendors mafias are also getting support from some political leaders. The petitioners seek a direction for removal of all the unauthorised vendors in the area in question.

3. Mr.Sri Harsha Peechara, learned standing counsel for NDMC, on instructions, submits that on learning of the aforesaid facts, on the very next day, the unauthorised vendors were removed from the site, in question.. Mr.Peechara further submits that the respondent NDMC will continue to take strict actions for removal of all the unauthorised street vendors from the area in question.

4. Having regard to the submissions made by Mr.Peechara, counsel for the petitioners submits that no further orders are required to be passed in the present writ petition and the same may be disposed of.

5. Accordingly, binding the respondents to the stand taken by the counsel for respondent NDMC in Court today, the writ petition is disposed of.

G.S.SISTANI, J

JYOTI SINGH, J

JANUARY 25, 2019

rb /

W.P.(C) 13737/2018

page 2 of 2