

\$~76.

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 9788/2017 & C.Ms.No.39820/2017 (*stay*), 8378/2018
(*waiver of cost*)

SANJIV LAMBA AND ORS

..... Petitioners

Through: Mr.Sameer Rohatgi, Adv. with
Mr.Akshit Pradhan, Adv.

Versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ANR.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr.Dev P.Bhardwaj, CGSC with
Mr.Jatin Teotia, Adv. for UOI.

CORAM:

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C.HARI SHANKAR

ORDER

%

19.08.2019

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that basically this writ petition challenges Section 164(2)(a) of the Companies Act, 2013. Nonetheless, as per the scheme floated by the respondents, namely, Condonation of Delay Scheme - 2018, the petitioners have already taken benefit and hence the counsel for the petitioners does not press this petition at this stage.

In view of this submission and looking to the fact that the petitioners have already taken benefit of Condonation of Delay Scheme - 2018, the petition is disposed of as not pressed at this stage.

The other pending applications also stand disposed of.

CHIEF JUSTICE

C.HARI SHANKAR, J

AUGUST 19, 2019/ 'anb'