

\$~5.

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(CRL) 3446/2018

SYED BABAR ALI NAQVI

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Mohit Mathur, Senior Advocate
with Ms. Manisha Parmar and Ms. Siddhi Mittal,
Advocates

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ANR.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Ripu Daman Bhardwaj, CGSC

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HIMA KOHLI

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YOGESH KHANNA

ORDER

%

11.01.2019

1. Counter affidavit has been filed by the respondents/UOI only on 09.01.2019 with a copy furnished to the counsel for the petitioner.
2. Mr. Mathur, learned Senior Advocate appearing for the petitioner states that hardly any time was left to file a rejoinder. He also states that though the petitioner was conveyed the order dated 05.10.2018, intimating him that the Advisory Board had opined that there was sufficient cause for the petitioner's detention and therefore, the Central Government has directed that he be detained for a period of one year reckoned from the date of his detention, i.e., 18.07.2018, the ground for confirmation of the decision taken by the Central Government, is within the special knowledge of the respondents alone.

W.P.(CRL) 3446/2018

Page 1 of 2

3. Learned counsel for the respondents states that he may be permitted to produce the relevant records containing the opinion of the Advisory Board, for the perusal of the Court on the next date of hearing. In the meantime, rejoinder, if any, be filed to the counter affidavit.
4. List on 07.02.2019.

HIMA KOHLI, J

YOGESH KHANNA, J

JANUARY 11, 2019
rkb/sk