

\$~2

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **ARB.P. 822/2018**

TWINKLE INDUSTRIES PVT. LTD. & ORS. Petitioners

Through **Mr. Satish Choudhury, Adv.**

versus

TWINKLE LUMINARIES PVT. LTD & ORS. Respondents

Through **Mr. Arun Sheoran with Mr.
K.K.Prasan, Adv.**

CORAM:

**SH. DEEPAK WASON (DHJS), JOINT REGISTRAR
(JUDICIAL)**

ORDER

%

11.01.2019

As per office note, respondent no.1 is served and service report is awaited qua respondent nos. 2 & 3. However, as per office note, vakalatnama has been filed by the respondents, which is under objection. Respondents are directed to remove the said objection.

Learned counsel for respondents seeks time to file the reply. Same be filed within four weeks from today, with advance copy to opposite party to be followed by rejoinder, if any, within one week thereafter with advance copy to opposite party.

Re-notify for **completion of pleadings on 18.02.2019.**

**DEEPAK WASON (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

JANUARY 11, 2019/cd