

\$~59.

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ ITA 960/2017

PR. COMMISSIONER OF
INCOME (CENTRAL) -3

..... Appellant

Through: Mr. Abhishek Maratha, Advocate.

versus

SHAYM SUNDER JINDAL

..... Respondent

Through:

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIPIN SANGHI

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE REKHA PALLI

ORDER

12.12.2019

%

In the light of the circular dated 08.08.2019 issued by the Ministry of Finance, Department of Revenue, Central Board of Direct Taxes (Judicial Section), Government of India, which fixes the monetary limit in respect of tax effect, inter alia, before the High Court in which the Department could pursue the matter as Rs. 1,00,00,000/-; the penalty of Rs. 10,000/- as mentioned in the order of CIT (A) dated 22.09.2015, as also the letter dated 20.11.2019 issued by ACIT, Judicial-2, New Delhi, the tax effect in the present appeal being below the permissible tax effect limit, the present appeal is disposed of.

VIPIN SANGHI, J

REKHA PALLI, J

DECEMBER 12, 2019

kd