

\$~31

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ W.P.(C) 10352/2018
MOHD. AMIL Petitioner
Through: Mr. Sanobar Ali Qureshi, Adv.

versus

THE COMMISSIONER EAST DELHI MUNICIPAL
CORPORATION AND ANR. Respondent
Through: Mr.A.K. Singh, Adv. for EDMC

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V. KAMESWAR RAO

ORDER
% **09.05.2019**

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that no rejoinder to the counter affidavit filed by the EDMC is required to be filed. His only submission is in view of the stand taken by the EDMC in the counter affidavit in para 11 (at running page 104), the case of the petitioner for renewal may be considered keeping in view the judgment of this Court in ***W.P. (C) 7621/2017*** titled as ***Nazim Hussain & Ors. vs. EDMC.*** The learned counsel for the respondents state that the respondents have already said in para 11 that the case of the petitioner for renewal of the Meat Trade License shall be decided as per the directions of this Court in the aforesaid writ petition.

He reiterates the said stand even before this Court today after taking

instructions from Officer Mr. Suresh Pal, VDC Veterinary, EDMC, New Delhi, who is present in Court. Mr. Qureshi is agreeable to the said stand of the respondents in their counter affidavit as well as the oral submission made by the learned counsel.

If that be so, it is directed that in view of the stand of the respondents, the petitioner shall submit a fresh application for renewal of his Meat Trade License within one week from today and the respondents shall consider and decide the same keeping in view the judgment of this Court in the case of ***Nazim Hussain & Ors. (supra)*** within four weeks thereafter.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner had submitted an application for renewal in the month of June 2017 and if the petitioner has to submit a fresh application, the period between June 2017 till the date of the fresh application may not be construed for the purpose of imposing late renewal fee. Learned counsel for the respondents states that the respondents shall not impose late renewal fee for this period.

Noting the above, the writ petition is disposed of.

V. KAMESWAR RAO, J

MAY 09, 2019/aky