

\$~8

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 3270/2017, CRL.M.A. 13429/2017

K K VOHRA

..... Petitioner

Through: Nemo.

versus

ASHOK MALHOTRA & ORS

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. M.P. Singh, Additional Public
Prosecutor for State with SI
Sanjeev Kumar, PS Vivek Vihar.
Mr. Abhishek Aggarwal, Advocate
for Respondent Nos. 1 to 8

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL GAUR

ORDER

22.01.2019

%

Petitioner's application under Section 156(3) of Cr.P.C. stands dismissed by trial court and the said order has been upheld by the Revisional Court.

None appears on behalf of petitioner, even on the third call in the post lunch session. Learned counsel for respondent Nos. 1 to 8 submits that petitioner's complaint is pending before the Trial Court and respondent Nos. 1 to 8 have not yet received summons in the said complaint.

Since none appears on behalf of petitioner, therefore, this petition and pending application are dismissed in default.

(SUNIL GAUR)
JUDGE

JANUARY 22, 2019

p'ma