

\$~50

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
Date of decision: 19th March, 2019

+ W.P.(C) 6522/2017 & CM APPLs. 27044-27045/2017

MOHIT KUMAR GUPTA Petitioner

Through: Petitioner in person.

versus

TERI UNIVERSITY & ANR Respondents

Through: Mr. Apoorva Gulati, Adv. with
Ms. Anju B. Gupta, Adv. for TERI
University.

Mr. Bimlesh K. Singh, Adv. with
Mr. Ashutosh Bhardwaj, Adv. for R-2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C. HARI SHANKAR

ORDER (ORAL)

% **19.03.2019**

1. The petitioner, who appears in person, candidly acknowledges that, with efflux of time, prayers a, b, c, e, f and g in this writ petition do not survive for consideration.

2. However, he exhorts the court to consider prayer d in the writ petition and, if possible, issue directions in terms thereof.

3. This Court regrets that it is not in a position to accede to such a request. Prayer d in the writ petition is for issuance of a direction to Respondent No.2 to incorporate clauses in the University Grants Commission (Grievance redressal) Regulations, 2012, or elsewhere, requiring Compulsory video recording of interviews and Trials


conducted for the purpose of admissions in higher Educational Institutes w.e.f. academic year 2018-19. This would require the court to issue a direction to the respondent to legislate which, it is well settled, is outside the scope of Article 226 of the Constitution of India.

4. Mr. Gupta, the petitioner in person, submits that he would desire to pursue the relief, couched in prayer 'd' in the writ petition, by ways of an appropriate public interest litigation.

5. Without expressing any opinion on the permissibility thereof, or the merits of the said prayer, this Court, recording the submission of Mr. Gupta for the above effect, disposes of this writ petition as not surviving for consideration.

C. HARI SHANKAR, J

MARCH 19, 2019/bh



सत्यमेव जयते