

\$~27

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 10028/2018**

M / S MACHINCRAFTS

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. M.A. Ansari and Mr. Khursheed
Ahmad, Advocates

versus

COMMISSIONER OF VAT & ANR.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Anuj Aggarwal, ASC, Govt. of
NCT of Delhi

CORAM:

JUSTICE S.MURALIDHAR

JUSTICE TALWANT SINGH

ORDER

22.07.2019

%

1. It appears that there are ten return periods for which refund is claimed. Refund order has been issued in respect of the nine periods and in respect of one period, the parties are *ad idem* that it is wrongly claimed.
2. Learned counsel for the Petitioner points out that although refund orders have been issued for the nine periods, interest on the refund amount has not yet been granted.
3. The Respondents are directed to pass appropriate orders granting interest on the refund already issued in accordance with law within a period of six weeks from today failing which it would be open for the Petitioner to approach the Court for relief in accordance with law.

4. The writ petition is disposed of in the above terms.

S. MURALIDHAR, J.

TALWANT SINGH, J.

JULY 22, 2019

PB