

\$~58

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.L.P. 608/2017

KUMUD MITTAL

..... Petitioner

Represented by: Mr. Avinash Kumar, Advocate.

versus

STATE (NCT OF DELHI) & ANR

..... Respondent

Represented by: Mr. Ashok Kumar Garg, APP for the
State.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER

16.01.2019

%

In view of the decision of the Supreme Court reported as 2018 SCC online 1941 Mallikarjun Kodagali Vs. State of Karnataka since the petitioner is a complainant/victim in a State case and has right to file an appeal without seeking leave to appeal, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks leave to prefer an appeal before the learned Additional Sessions Judge.

Petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

Trial Court record be sent back.

MUKTA GUPTA, J.

JANUARY 16, 2019

'yo'