

\$~43

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 4898/2018

NAMRATA KUMARI

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Pankaj Prasad, Advocate with petitioner
in person.

versus

STATE (GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI) & ANR Respondents

Through: Mr. Raghuvinder Verma, APP for the State
with SI Vikas Kumar, PS Dwarka South.
Mr. Arvind Kumar & Ms. Shashi Kiran,
Advocates for R-2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDER SHEKHAR

ORDER

%

05.09.2019

1. Reply not filed by respondent No.2. Learned counsel for respondent No.2 submitted that vide order dated 07.03.2019, the trial court has discharged respondent No.2.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that he has already challenged the discharge order before the revision court and the petition is pending there.
3. Learned APP submitted that in view of the discharge of respondent No.2, the petition has become infructuous.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that he may be allowed to withdraw the petition as the same has become infructuous with the liberty to file the same petition on the same cause of action in

case the discharge order is set aside by the courts in due course.

5. In view of the above, the petition is dismissed as having become infructuous with the liberty, as prayed for.

CHANDER SHEKHAR, J.

SEPTEMBER 05, 2019
‘AA’