

\$~46

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**  
+ CRL.M.C. 2520/2017  
SHRI KALLU RAM & ANR .....Petitioners  
Through: Mr. Puneet Goel, Advocate  
Versus  
STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ORS .....Respondents  
Through: Mr. M.S. Oberoi, Additional  
Public Prosecutor for State

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL GAUR**

**ORDER**

%

**27.02.2019**

Impugned order of 8<sup>th</sup> June, 2016 summons respondent No. 2 for the offence under Sections 379/447 of IPC and the Revisional Court vide impugned order of 16<sup>th</sup> March, 2017 has added the offence under Section 427 of IPC.

Learned counsel for petitioners submits that respondents No. 3 to 11 ought to be summoned for the aforesaid offences. Attention of this Court is drawn to statement of *Mr. Rakesh Kumar (CW-6)*.

Upon hearing and on perusal of impugned orders and the statement of CW-6, I find that no case for summoning of respondents No. 3 to 11 is made out.

This petition is dismissed while not commenting upon merits.

**(SUNIL GAUR)**  
**JUDGE**

**FEBRUARY 27, 2019**

*p'ma*