

\$~11

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(OS) 496/2018**

SMT. GAYATRI

..... Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Raghuvinder Godara, Adv

versus

SMT. SATYAWATI & ORS.

..... Defendants

Through: Ms. Tejaswini Verma, proxy counsel for
Mr. Madan Lal Sharma, Adv for D1 &
2.

CORAM:

**SH. RAJ KUMAR TRIPATHI (DHJS), JOINT REGISTRAR
(JUDICIAL)**

ORDER

%

11.01.2019

**IA No. 193/2019 (u/O VIII Rule 1 and Section 148 r/w Section 151
CPC filed by D1 & 2 for seeking extension of time to file written
statement)**

Notice of IA accepted by counsel for plaintiff, who does not wish to file reply to the IA.

Heard on IA. Record perused.

Both the defendants have been served on 21.10.2018. They have filed their response to the suit of plaintiff on 19.12.2018.

For the reasons stated in the application and submissions advanced by learned counsel for both the parties, delay in filing of written statement by defendants 1 & 2 is hereby condoned, in the interest of justice. Written statement and affidavit for admission/denial of documents already filed by defendants 1 & 2 is

directed to be taken on record.

IA stands disposed of.

CS(OS) 496/2018

Defendant No.3 remained unserved. Summons issued to defendant No.3 returned back unserved with report that “ she does not reside at the given premises”. Let fresh steps for service of defendant No.3 by all permissible modes be taken by plaintiff within a week, returnable for next date of hearing, with direction to defendant No.3 to file her response to the suit of plaintiff within thirty days from the date of service of summons.

Let replication to the written statement of defendants 1 & 2 alongwith affidavit for admission/denial of documents be filed by plaintiff within four weeks with advance copy to counsel for defendants.

Renotify for service report qua defendant No.3, completion of pleadings and marking of exhibits to the documents on 05.03.2019.

**RAJ KUMAR TRIPATHI (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

JANUARY 11, 2019

sk