

\$~10

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 8762/2018 & CM Appl. 33669/2018

ROCKWELL INDUSTRIES Petitioner

Through: Mr.Puneet Rai, Advocate

versus

COMMISSIONER OF TRADE & TAXES, & ANR. Respondents

Through: Mr.Anuj Aggarwal, ASC with
Mr.Himanshu, Advocate

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. RAVINDRA BHAT

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRATEEK JALAN

ORDER

% **14.01.2019**

1. The petitioner had sought directions for refund of the excess VAT lying with the respondents. The Court had issued notice on 21st August, 2018. Just a day before the next date scheduled for hearing i.e. 16th November, 2018, the DVAT authorities passed an order, adjusting the amounts sought in these proceedings. That order of DVAT authorities dated 15.11.2018 is the subject matter of another writ petition, which has been filed and is yet to be heard.

2. In the light of these subsequent developments, the claim in this writ petition is rendered infructuous. However, it is open to the petitioner to urge all contentions available in law and which were also urged in the present proceedings.

3. The writ petition is accordingly disposed of.

S. RAVINDRA BHAT, J

PRATEEK JALAN, J

JANUARY 14, 2019/ 'hkaur'