

\$~18

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **OMP (ENF.) (COMM.) 207/2018**
SUNITA

..... Decree holder

Through None.

versus

EMAAR MGF LAND LIMITED

..... Judgment debtor

Through None.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SHAKDHER

ORDER

% **18.03.2019**

1 On the previous date, there was no appearance on behalf of the decree holder or the judgment debtor.

2 Insofar as the judgment debtor is concerned, there could not have been any appearance as the service was not complete.

3 Accordingly, I had directed issuance of fresh notice on steps being taken by the decree holder.

4 Today, once again, there is no appearance on behalf of the decree holder. I must also indicate that there is a report of the Registry on record, which is suggestive of the fact that the judgment debtor was served via ordinary means on 3.12.2018.

5 It appears that the decree holder is not interested in prosecuting the captioned petition.

6 Accordingly, the petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

RAJIV SHAKDHER, J

MARCH 18, 2019

A