

\$~SB-1 & 2

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(C) No.7275/2016**

PREM SINGH Petitioner
Through Mr. Sumit Bansal & Ms. Sumi
Bansal, Advocates

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS. Respondents
Through Mr. Bhagwan Swarup Shukla, CGSC
with Mr. Mukesh Kumar Pandey,
Advocates for Respondent/UOI
Mr. Siddharth Panda, Advocate for
LAC/L & B Deptt.
Mr. Pawan Mathur, Standing counsel
for DDA

+ **W.P.(C) No.7278/2016**

PREM SINGH Petitioner
Through Mr. Sumit Bansal & Ms. Sumi
Bansal, Advocates

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS. Respondents
Through Mr. Bhagwan Swarup Shukla, CGSC
with Mr. Mukesh Kumar Pandey,
Advocates for Respondent/UOI
Mr. Siddharth Panda, Advocate for
LAC/L & B Deptt.
Mr. Pawan Mathur, Standing counsel
for DDA

CORAM:
JUSTICE S.MURALIDHAR
JUSTICE R.K. GAUBA

% **ORDER**
05.07.2019

Review Petition Nos. 24/2018 & 25/2018

1. By these petitions, review is sought of the orders dated 1st November, 2017 passed by this Court dismissing the two writ petitions essentially on the ground that the Petitioners have been unable to demonstrate their *locus standi* to maintain the petitions.

2. Mr. Sumit Bansal, learned counsel appearing for the Petitioners states that Petitioners would like to withdraw the writ petitions with liberty to file fresh petitions to demonstrate their *locus standi*.

3. In that view of the matter, the order dated 1st November, 2017 is hereby recalled. The review petitions are allowed and the aforementioned writ petitions are restored to file.

W.P. (C) 7275 and 7278 of 2016

4. In view of the submission made by learned counsel for the Petitioners, the present writ petitions are dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for. The stand taken by the Respondents in their respective counter affidavits are left open to be urged at the appropriate stage.

S. MURALIDHAR, J.

R.K. GAUBA, J.

JULY 05, 2019

mw