$~31

*** IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

% Judgment delivered on: 27.09.2019

\+ RC.REV. 364/2018 & CM APPL.30537/2018

HARI KISHAN DHOOT & ANR ..... Petitioners

versus

SATISH KUMAR AGGARWAL & ANR ..... Respondents

Advocates who appeared in this case:

For the Petitioner: Mr.B.Venkataraman, Advocate.

For the Respondent: Mr.Puneet Mahajan, Advocate.

CORAM:-
HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SANJEEV SACHDEVA

JUDGMENT

SANJEEV SACHDEVA, J. (ORAL)

1. Petitioner impugns order dated 24.04.2018, whereby, leave to defend application of the petitioner has been dismissed and an eviction order passed.

2. Respondent had filed the subject eviction petition seeking eviction of the petitioner, on the ground of bonafide necessity under Section 14(1) (e) of the Delhi Rent Control Act, 1958, from Property No.213, First Floor, Gali Batasan, Chawri Bazar, Delhi-110006, Delhi, more particularly as shown in red colour in the site plan

annexed with the eviction petition.

3. Parties were referred to mediation.

4. Learned counsels for the parties inform that the mediation was successful and consequent to the settlement, the petitioner has handed over peaceful vacant possession of the tenanted premises to the respondent.

5. Learned counsel for the parties inform that all disputes between the parties stand settled and now nothing is surviving against each other.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks leave to withdraw the petition.

7. The Petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

8. Order *Dasti* under signatures of the Court Master.

SANJEEV SACHDEVA, J

SEPTEMBER 27, 2019
rk