

*	IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI		
+	<u>CONT.CAS(C) 573/2018, C.M. APPL.30663/2018</u>		
	HARNAM SINGH COLLEGE OF PHARMACY		Petitioner
	versus		
	PROF B SURESH & ANR		Respondents
+	<u>CONT.CAS(C) 575/2018, C.M. APPL.30665/2018</u>		
	SARSAWATI MODERN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY		Petitioner
	versus		
	PROF B SURESH & ANR		Respondents
+	<u>W.P.(C) 6909/2018, C.M. APPL.26199/2018 & 36803/2018</u>		
	HARNAM SINGH COLLEGE OF PHARMACY		Petitioner
	versus		
	PHARMACY COUNCIL OF INDIA AND ORS.		Respondents
+	<u>W.P.(C) 7122/2018, C.M. APPL.27105/2018</u>		
	SARASWATI MODERN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY		Petitioner
	versus		
	PHARMACY COUNCIL OF INDIA AND ORS.		Respondents
+	<u>W.P.(C) 7315/2018, C.M. APPL.27898/2018 & 34091/2018</u>		
	MAHENDER SINGH COLLEGE OF PHARMACY		Petitioner
	versus		
	PHARMACY COUNCIL OF INDIA AND ORS.		Respondents
+	<u>W.P.(C) 7320/2018, C.M. APPL.27927/2018 & 34065/2018</u>		
	ACS COLLEGE OF PHARMACY		Petitioner
	versus		
	PHARMACY COUNCIL OF INDIA AND ORS.		Respondents
+	<u>W.P.(C) 7363/2018, C.M. APPL.28163/2018 & 34096/2018</u>		
	RBS COLLEGE OF PHARMACY		Petitioner
	versus		
	PHARMACY COUNCIL OF INDIA AND ORS.		Respondents
	Through : Sh. Sanjay Sharawat with Sh. Divyank Rana and Sh. Ashok Kumar, Advocates, for petitioner, in Item Nos. 15 to 21. Sh. Zoheb Hossain and Sh. Piyush Goyal, Advocates, for Respondent No.1, in Item Nos. 15 to 21.		

Sh. Manu Rajvanshi, for Sh. Alok Sangwan, Advocate, for Respondent No.4, in Item Nos. 15 and 16.

Sh. Abhinav Tyagi, for Sh. Anil Soni, Standing Counsel, for AICTE, in Item Nos. 17 to 21.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. RAVINDRA BHAT

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRATEEK JALAN

ORDER

%

06.03.2019

It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioners that in the light of the statement made by the Union of India (UOI) before the Lucknow Bench of the Allahabad High Court in *Seth Vishambhar Nath Educational Society Thru. President & Anr. v. State of U.P. Thru. Principal Secretary, Technical Education and Ors.* [Misc. Single No.15607/2018 and connected cases, decided on 03.12.2018] that the issue with respect to primacy of either of the regulatory bodies, i.e. All India Council for Technical Education (AICTE) and/or the Pharmacy Council of India (PCI) is under its active consideration and due guidelines would be issued, the petitioners do not wish to press the claims in these proceedings: it is submitted that the learned counsel has instructions accordingly to withdraw the petitions.

W.P.(C) 6909/2018, 7122/2018, 7315/2018, 7320/2018 & 7363/2018 and Cont. Cas (C) 573/2018 & 575/2018 are accordingly dismissed as withdrawn along with the pending applications.

S. RAVINDRA BHAT, J

PRATEEK JALAN, J

MARCH 06, 2019/ajk