

\$~21 to 30

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 5319/2018 & CM APPL. 20634/2018, 35596/2018**

M/S BEGRAJ VEDPAL

..... Petitioner

Through Mr Manohar Malik, Advocate.

Versus

DELHI AGRICULTURAL MARKETING
BOARD AND ANR.

..... Respondents

Through Mrs Avnish Ahlawat, Standing Counsel
DAMB with Mr Nitesh Kumar Singh, Advocate
for respondents.

22.

+ **W.P.(C) 5320/2018 & CM No.20636/2018**

M/S BISHT FLOWERS

..... Petitioner

Through Mr Manohar Malik, Advocate.

Versus

DELHI AGRICULTURAL MARKETING
BOARD AND ANR.

..... Respondents

Through Mrs Avnish Ahlawat, Standing Counsel
DAMB with Mr Nitesh Kumar Singh, Advocate
for respondents.

23.

+ **W.P.(C) 5321/2018 & CM No.20638/2018**

SH. SHER SINGH SAINI

..... Petitioner

Through Mr Manohar Malik, Advocate.

Versus

DELHI AGRICULTURAL MARKETING
BOARD AND ANR.

..... Respondents

Through Mrs Avnish Ahlawat, Standing Counsel
DAMB with Mr Nitesh Kumar Singh, Advocate
for respondents.

24.

+

W.P.(C) 5324/2018 & CM No.20647/2018

SANGEETA CHAUHAN

..... Petitioner

Through Mr Manohar Malik, Advocate.

Versus

DELHI AGRICULTURAL MARKETING
BOARD & ANR

..... Respondents

Through Mrs Avnish Ahlawat, Standing Counsel
DAMB with Mr Nitesh Kumar Singh, Advocate
for respondents.

25.

+

W.P.(C) 5325/2018 & CM No.20649/2018

MOHMMAD JAMIL

..... Petitioner

Through Mr Manohar Malik, Advocate.

versus

DELHI AGRICULTURAL MARKETING
BOARD & ANR

..... Respondents

Through Mrs Avnish Ahlawat, Standing Counsel
DAMB with Mr Nitesh Kumar Singh, Advocate
for respondents.

26.

+

W.P.(C) 5327/2018 & CM No.20653/2018

SH. RAKESH JAISWAL

..... Petitioner

Through Mr Manohar Malik, Advocate.

versus

DELHI AGRICULTURAL MARKETING
BOARD AND ANR.

..... Respondents

Through Mrs Avnish Ahlawat, Standing Counsel
DAMB with Mr Nitesh Kumar Singh, Advocate
for respondents.

27.

+ **W.P.(C) 5328/2018 & CM No.20655/2018**

SH. K.N. SHARMA

..... Petitioner

Through Mr Manohar Malik, Advocate.

versus

DELHI AGRICULTURAL MARKETING
BOARD AND ANR.

..... Respondents

Through Mrs Avnish Ahlawat, Standing Counsel
DAMB with Mr Nitesh Kumar Singh, Advocate
for respondents.

28.

+ **W.P.(C) 5329/2018 & CM No.20657/2018**

PRADEEP NAINWAL

..... Petitioner

Through Mr Manohar Malik, Advocate.

versus

DELHI AGRICULTURAL MARKETING
BOARD & ANR

..... Respondents

Through Mrs Avnish Ahlawat, Standing Counsel
DAMB with Mr Nitesh Kumar Singh, Advocate
for respondents.

29.

+ **W.P.(C) 5363/2018 & CM No.20800-20801/2018**

SH. NARESH KUMAR

..... Petitioner

Through Mr Manohar Malik, Advocate.

versus

DELHI AGRICULTURAL MARKETING
BOARD AND ANR.

..... Respondents

Through Mrs Avnish Ahlawat, Standing Counsel
DAMB with Mr Nitesh Kumar Singh, Advocate
for respondents.

30.

+

W.P.(C) 5370/2018 & CM No.20891-20892/2018

SH. SUJEET SAINI

..... Petitioner

Through Mr Manohar Malik, Advocate.

versus

DELHI AGRICULTURAL MARKETING
BOARD AND ANR.

..... Respondents

Through Mrs Avnish Ahlawat, Standing Counsel
DAMB with Mr Nitesh Kumar Singh, Advocate
for respondents.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIBHU BAKHRU

ORDER

%

04.04.2019

1. The petitioners are traders engaged in the whole sale trade of flowers and are licence holders of B-Category Licence for operating in the Flower Trade Centre located at Ghazipur. The prayers made in the petitions are similarly worded and read as under:-

“(a) issue a writ of certiorari or any other appropriate writ, order or direction to quash and set aside the Resolution No. 367/2016 dated 23.02.2016 and Allotment/Shifting Letters dated 31.05.2016 issued by the Respondent No. 2 in favour of some selective traders having Shops Nos. B-2 to B-11;

(b) issue a writ of mandamus or any other appropriate writ, order or direction to the Respondent No. 1 Board not to proceed with any further actions pursuant to the aforesaid impugned reallocation/shifting letters dated 31.05.2016;

(c) issue a writ of mandamus or any other appropriate writ, order or direction against the Respondents to pass a speaking order within a period as prescribed by this Hon'ble Court based on a fair, transparent, uniform and unbiased procedure to shift/relocate the traders including the Petitioner from their present disadvantageous location to a better location preferably near the market gate of the Flower Market, Gazipur, Delhi;"

2. Insofar as prayer (a) is concerned – that is, regarding the petitioners' challenge to the resolution dated 23.02.2016 and allotment letters dated 31.05.2016 issued to the traders having shop Nos. B-2 to B-11 – the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners states that the petitioners do not wish to press the said prayer. He further states that a representation for allotment of alternate sites (*Phars*) would be made to the concerned Flower Market Committee and the petitioners would be satisfied if the Flower Market Committee is directed to consider the said representation(s).

3. This is agreeable to the respondents.

4. In view of the consensus between the counsel for both the parties, the petitioners are permitted to make representation(s) to the respondents. In the event, the petitioners make representation within a period of two weeks from today, the concerned Flower Market Committee shall consider the same and pass a speaking order as expeditiously as possible and preferably within a period of eight weeks thereafter.

5. The petitions are disposed of in the aforesaid terms. All pending applications stand disposed of.

VIBHU BAKHRU, J

APRIL 04, 2019

pkv