

\$~2

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 2509/2018 & Crl.M.A. 8935/2018 (stay)

DIRECTORATE OF REVENUE INTELLIGENCE.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Vineet Sharma, Advocate for  
Mr. Satish Aggarwala, Advocate

Versus

WU CAIRONG

.....Respondent

Through: Nemo

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL GAUR**

**ORDER**

%

**18.02.2019**

Vide impugned order of 6<sup>th</sup> April, 2018, respondent was permitted to go to China for a period of one month upon the conditions imposed vide said order. Quashing of aforesaid order is sought in this petition.

While entertaining this petition, no interim orders were passed. Now, the period of one month is also over. According to petitioner's counsel, respondent has not returned back to India.

In view of aforesaid, this petition and the application are disposed of as infructuous with direction to trial court to secure the presence of respondent.

**(SUNIL GAUR)**  
**JUDGE**

**FEBRUARY 18, 2019**

s