$~29

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

\+ W.P.(C) No. 4048/2018 & CM APPL. No. 15971/2018

SHABANA ZAKIR SIDDIQUI ..... Petitioner

Through: None.

versus

SOUTH DELHI MUNICIPAL CORPORATION AND ORS. ..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Tushar Sannu, Mr.Ankit Jain, Advocates for SDMC/R1.
Mr. Zahid Hanief, Advocate for Mr.Naushad Ahmed Khan, ASC (Civil) for GNCTD.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI

O R D E R

% 11.02.2019

No one is present on behalf of the petitioner when the matter is called-out at 5 p.m.

Learned counsel appearing for respondents Nos. 2,3 and 4/Delhi Police states that requisite police force was provided to respondent No. 1/SDMC to take action against the subject property on two dates i.e. on 18.12.2018 and 27.12.2018. Learned counsel appearing for respondent No. 1/SDMC however disputes this, inasmuch as, he states, police force was provided for partial action that was taken on 18.12.2018 but not thereafter.

Be that as it may, in the absence of the petitioner, I do not wish to proceed further with this matter.

Re-list on 24.04.2019.

ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI, J.

FEBRUARY 11, 2019/j