

\$~12 & 13

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ RC.REV. 128/2017 & CM APPL Nos.10893/2017, 1167/2018,
8066/2018, 8067/2018
SATISH KUMAR JAIN

..... Petitioner

Through : Mr.Madan Lal Sharma, Advocate

versus

VISHAL MOONDHRA & ORS

..... Respondents

Through : Ms.Ritu Sobti, Mr.S.S.Sobti and
Mr.Ajay Kohli, Advocates

+ RC.REV. 159/2017 & CM APPL Nos.13039/2017, 1158/2018,
8062/2018, 8063/2018
SUSHIL KUMAR JAIN

..... Petitioner

Through : Mr.Madan Lal Sharma, Advocate

versus

VISHAL MOONDHRA & ORS

..... Respondents

Through : Ms.Ritu Sobti, Mr.S.S.Sobti and
Mr.Ajay Kohli, Advocates

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YOGESH KHANNA

ORDER

%

05.02.2019

After some arguments learned counsel for the petitioner says the petitioner shall not challenge the impugned order on merits if some more time is granted to the petitioner to vacate the premises. Though the learned counsel for the petitioner asks to two years' time

but the same is not accepted by learned counsel for the respondent. In the circumstances, the petitioner to vacate the premises on or before 4th May, 2020. The payment of user/occupation charges shall continued to be paid as per order dated 23rd November, 2017 till date, however, the user and occupation charges shall be reduced to Rs.12,000/- pm w.e.f. 1st March, 2019 till vacation of the premises. The charges shall be payable on or before 7th of each calendar month. The water and electricity charges shall be paid as per actuals. The usual undertaking to this effect shall be filed by the petitioner within four weeks from today. Any default in the payment of user/occupation charges shall entail eviction forthwith.

The petitions along with pending applications stand disposed of in terms of above.

Order dasti.

YOGESH KHANNA, J.

FEBRUARY 05, 2019

VL