$~40.

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

\+ ITA 437/2006

COMMISSIONER OF INCOME TAX ..... Appellant

Through: Mr. Ruchir Bhatia, Advocate.

versus

O.P.SRIVASTAVA ..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Satyen Sethi and Mr. Arta Tarana, Advocates.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIPIN SANGHI
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE REKHA PALLI

O R D E R

% **12.12.2019**

In the light of the circular dated 08.08.2019 issued by the Ministry of Finance, Department of Revenue, Central Board of Direct Taxes (Judicial Section), Government of India, which fixes the monetary limit in respect of tax effect, inter alia, before the High Court in which the Department could pursue the matter as Rs. 1,00,00,000/-; the tax effect of Rs. 84,00,000/- as mentioned in the letter dated 20.11.2019 issued by ACIT, Judicial-2, New Delhi, the tax effect in the present appeal being below the permissible tax effect limit, the present appeal is disposed of.

VIPIN SANGHI, J

REKHA PALLI, J

DECEMBER 12, 2019
kd