

\$~8

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(OS) 137/2016**

MEGHA KAKKAR NEE SETH Plaintiff

Through: **Mr. Rajeshwar Kumar Gupta, Adv.**

Versus

RAJNI SETH & OTHERS Defendants

Through: **Mr. Sunil K. Jain, Ms. Reeta Chaudhary
& Ms. Anusha Agarwal, Advs. for D-1.
Mr. Atul Nigam, Mr. Randhir Kumar &
Mr. Harshit Dave, Advs. for D-2 to 4.**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SAHAI ENDLAW

ORDER

% **08.02.2019**

CCP(O) No.6/2019 (of the plaintiff under Order XXXIX Rule 2A CPC)

1. Though violation is alleged by the defendants of the interim order dated 29th November, 2016, by making structural changes to the property but the application is vague and save for making allegation does not specify the nature of the changes and / or is not accompanied with any site plan showing the position as existing earlier and as now existing.
2. No purpose will be served in entertaining such a vague application.
3. The application is dismissed.

RAJIV SAHAI ENDLAW, J

FEBRUARY 08, 2019

‘gsr’..