

\$~34

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 3488/2019**

RINKI

..... Petitioner

Through **Mr. Rajat Nagar, Mr. Madeep Kumar
Nirvan and Mr. Gaurav Bisht,
Advocates**

versus

STATE & ANR

..... Respondents

Through **Mr. Jamal Akhtar, Mr. Divyank Tyagi
and Mr. Amanpreet Singh, Advocates
for Mr. Rahul Mehra, Standing
Counsel (Criminal) with SI Ranjit
Tikas, P.S.: Kotla Mubarak Pur**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BRIJESH SETHI

ORDER

%

10.01.2020

CRL.M.A. 421/2020 (*exemption*)

Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

CRL.M.A. 422/2020 (*rectification of order dated 13.12.2019*)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in the order dated 13.12.2019, it has been wrongly mentioned as “police station having jurisdiction of Parpar Ganj”. Whereas it should be police station having jurisdiction of Prahladpur.

The aforesaid submission made by counsel for petitioner is affirmed by counsel appearing for respondents.

Heard.

Since it was a typographical error, it stands corrected and order dated 13.12.2019 shall be read as “police station having jurisdiction of Prahladpur”.

The application stands disposed of accordingly.

Dasti.

BRIJESH SETHI, J

JANUARY 10, 2020

savita