

\$~78

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 13010/2019**

KARAMBIR @ KARAMVIR SINGH & ORS Petitioners

Through: Mr. Anuj Saini and Mr. Parveen
Kumar, Advocates.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS Respondents

Through: Mr. Dhanesh Relan, Standing
Counsel for DDA with Ms. Pallavi
Nagar and Ms. Komal Sorout,
Advocates.
Mr. Yeeshu Jain and Ms. Jyoti Tyagi,
Advocates for R-4 & 5.
Mr. Awadhesh Kumar Singh,
Advocate for R-1.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIPIN SANGHI

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE REKHA PALLI

ORDER

% **10.12.2019**

C.M. Nos. 53016-53017/2019 (exemption)

1. Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The applications stand disposed of.

W.P.(C) 13010/2019 & C.M. No. 53015/2019

3. The Petitioner has preferred this petition to assail the acquisition of land under the notification issued under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act on 23.02.2006 vide notification F.11(92)/2004/L&B/LA/14103 followed by a notification under Section 6 of the said Act dated 22.02.2007 bearing No.

F.11(92)/2004/L&B/LA/17513 and award No. 10/2008-09/DC(NW) as well as the possession proceedings dated 22.06.2011 in village Tikri Khurd in respect of which the Petitioners claim interest in land falling in Khasra No. 11/5. The Petitioners also seek a direction that the acquisition has lapsed.

4. Firstly, we may observe that the petition is highly belated and barred by laches as noticed hereinabove. The acquisition proceedings were initiated vide notification dated 23.02.2006 issued under Section 4 and the notification under Section 6 issued on 22.02.2007, the award was passed in 2008-09. The present appeal has been preferred after nearly ten years of the acquisition being completed. For the aforesaid reasons, we are not inclined to exercise our jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution.

5. In view of the aforesaid, the present petition is dismissed.

VIPIN SANGHI, J

REKHA PALLI, J

DECEMBER 10, 2019

nk