

\$~4

*

+

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI
CS(COMM) 406/2018

INTEL CORPORATION Plaintiff

Through Mr. Ranjan Narula, Adv.

versus

MR. ULHAS POTNIS & OTHERS

..... Defendant

Through Mr. N. K. Bhardwaj, Mr. Bikash
Ghorai & Ms. Shreya, Advs.

CORAM:

SHARAD GUPTA (DHJS), JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

ORDER

% **10.01.2019**

IA No.4636/2018 u/O VI R 17 CPC moved by plaintiff

Reply to the captioned IA filed by defendants is on record. It is stated by learned counsel for plaintiff that there is no requirement for filing of rejoinder to the captioned IA. Thus, pleadings in the captioned IA are complete.

It is stated that the captioned IA is in aid of and supplementary to application u/O VII R 14 CPC already filed and pending. It is submitted that it would be appropriate, if both the applications are disposed of together.

IA No.13382/2017 u/O VII R 14(3) CPC moved by plaintiff

Reply to the captioned IA on behalf of defendants is on record. Copy already received by learned counsel for plaintiff. It is stated by learned counsel for plaintiff that there is no requirement for filing of rejoinder to the captioned IA. Thus, pleadings in the captioned IA are also complete.

It is brought to my notice that the undersigned has no

Contd....2

jurisdiction to try the captioned IA in view of ratio of *Max Hypermarket India Pvt. Ltd. Vs. Curo India Pvt. Ltd. OA no.70/2018 in CS(COMM) 95/2016 decided on 22.11.2018*

At joint request, let the matter be placed before the Hon'ble Court on 01.02.2019, for further directions.

SHARAD GUPTA (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

JANUARY 10, 2019/ab