

\$~59

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

Date of decision: 23rd September, 2019

+ CRL.M.C. 4782/2019

SMT. REENA & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Ms. Suman Tanwar, Advocate

versus

STATE & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Izhar Ahmed, APP for State with
SI Manjeet, PS Kanjahwal
Mr. Manish Kumar, Advocate for
respondent No.2 in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESH KUMAR KAIT

J U D G M E N T
(ORAL)

CRL. M.A. 36489/2019 (Exemption)

Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

Application is disposed of.

CRL.M.C. 4782/2019

1. Vide the present petition, the petitioners seek direction thereby quashing FIR No. 139/2015 dated 17th February, 2015, registered at PS Kanjhawala for the offences punishable under Sections 325/34 of the IPC and consequent proceedings arising therefrom.

2. Notice issued.
3. Notice is accepted by learned APP for the State and counsel for the respondent no.2 and with the consent of the counsel for the parties, the present petition is taken up for final disposal.
4. The petitioner and respondent no.2 got married on 28th November, 2011 as per Hindu rites and rituals.
5. The petitioners and respondent no.2 with the intervention of their well wishers and relatives entered into an amicable settlement orally. Since May, 2016, they have started living together as husband and wife happily and settled all their disputes amicably.
6. The respondent No. 2/complainant is present in person with his counsel and has been identified by SI Manjeet of Police Station Kanjahwala and submits that matter has been settled and he does not wish to prosecute the matter any further.
7. Taking into account the aforesaid facts, this Court is inclined to quash the concerned FIR as no useful purpose would be served in prosecuting the petitioners any further.
8. For the reasons afore-recorded, the FIR No. 139/2015 dated 17th February, 2015, registered at PS Kanjahwala, instituted for the offences

punishable under Sections 325/34 of the IPC and consequent proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

9. Accordingly, the petition is allowed and disposed of.

10. Order *dasti*.

(SURESH KUMAR KAIT)
JUDGE

SEPTEMEBR 23, 2019

v

