$~47 to 49

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

\+ BAIL APPLN. 2318/2019

PRAGYA AGARWAL ..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Navin Sharma & Mr. Rakesh Kumar Khare, Advocates

versus

STATE & ANR. .... Respondents

Through: Mr. Raghuvinder Verma, APP with SI Baljinder Singh, PS:Darya Ganj, Delhi
Mr. Rajiv Taneja & Mr. Sandeep Kumar, Advocates for respondent No.2

WITH

\+ BAIL APPLN. 2321/2019

SUNIL AGARWAL ..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Navin Sharma & Mr. Rakesh Kumar Khare, Advocates

versus

STATE & ANR. .... Respondents

Through: Mr. Raghuvinder Verma, APP with SI Baljinder Singh, PS:Darya Ganj, Delhi
Mr. Rajiv Taneja & Mr. Sandeep Kumar, Advocates for respondent No.2

WITH

Signature Not Verified
Digitally Signed
By:AMULYA

\+ BAIL APPLN. 2323/2019

ATUL AGARWAL ..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Navin Sharma & Mr. Rakesh Kumar Khare, Advocates

versus

STATE & ANR. .... Respondents

Through: Mr. Raghuvinder Verma, APP with SI Baljinder Singh, PS:Darya Ganj, Delhi

Mr. Rajiv Taneja & Mr. Sandeep Kumar, Advocates for respondent No.2

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDER SHEKHAR

ORDER

% 08.11.2019

1. These are the applications under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (Cr. PC) filed by the petitioners for grant of anticipatory bail.

2. Learned APP, on instructions of the Investigating Officer (IO), submitted that after taking the admitted signatures of Jagdish Rai Seengal from Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh, the questioned documents will be sent to the Forensic Science Laboratory (FSL) for expert opinion. Learned APP also submitted that the investigation is still at the initial stage, however, no arrest shall be made, till the time the FSL report is received by the IO. Learned APP also submitted that necessary directions be passed to the Director, FSL to expedite the process and send the report at the earliest. Accordingly, the

Director, FSL is directed to go through the documents, as stated herein in above, and furnish a report to the IO at the earliest, preferably within one month from the date of submission of documents by the IO.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that in view of the statement made by the learned APP, he may be allowed to withdraw the bail applications, with liberty to file fresh applications. Learned counsel for the petitioners further submitted that if the need of arrest of the petitioners arises, the IO may be directed to give three working days' notice to the petitioners so that they may avail legal remedy. Learned counsel for the complainants as well as the learned APP have no objection to the same. Accordingly, if need of arrest arises, the IO shall give three working days' notice to the petitioners so that they may avail the legal remedy. Meanwhile, the petitioners are directed to join and cooperate in the investigation, as and when called by the SHO/IO in writing; they shall also not involve in any activity which may prejudice the prosecution and/or the witnesses.

4. Bail applications are accordingly dismissed as withdrawn.

CHANDER SHEKHAR, J

NOVEMBER 08, 2019
tp