

\$~53

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 9784/2019

RAJIV SHARMA Petitioner

Through: Mr. Umesh Sharama, Advocate

Versus

NEW DELHI MUNICIPAL COUNCIL THROUGH ITS VICE
CHAIRMAN & ORS. Respondents

Through: Mr. Anil Grover, Standing Counsel
for respondents No.1 & 3-New
Delhi Municipal Council with
Mr. Gaurav Bhargava, Advocate

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV SACHDEVA

ORDER

% **11.09.2019**

CM APPL. 40396/2019 (exemption)

Exemption is allowed subject to all just exceptions.

W.P.(C) 9784/2019

1. Petitioner by the present petition seeks a direction against the respondents for disclosing the taking of appropriate action against officers of the respondents for not returning the earnest money deposited / furnished by the petitioner within time and promptly after the tender was cancelled.
2. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that a complaint has

also been lodged with the Vigilance Department and the same is pending inquiry with the Department. Learned counsel for petitioner seeks leave to withdraw the petition stating that since the vigilance inquiry is already pending, he shall await the result of the vigilance inquiry.

3. In view of the above, the petition is dismissed as withdrawn. It is clarified that nothing stated herein shall influence the vigilance inquiry, which shall be disposed of in accordance with law.

SANJEEV SACHDEVA, J

SEPTEMBER 11, 2019

r