

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

Date of decision: October 30, 2019

+ **W.P.(CRL) 2465/2019**

INDERJEET & ORS

..... Petitioners

Through

Mr. Neeraj and Mr. Rajesh Kumar,
Advocates

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ORS

..... Respondents

Through

Mr. Avi Singh, ASC with Mr.
Tanuj Bhadana, Advocate for
State/R-1 with SI Dhankar Singh,
P.S.: Prasad Nagar

Respondents in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BRIJESH SETHI

JUDGMENT

BRIJESH SETHI, J (oral)

1. Quashing of FIR No. 0099/2019, under Sections 323/324/34 IPC, registered at police station Prasad Nagar, Delhi is sought on the ground that the dispute between the parties stands amicably settled. Respondents are present in the court today and submit that they have settled the dispute with the petitioners without any undue influence, coercion or pressure from any source whatsoever.

2. Notice.

3. Mr. Avi Singh, learned ASC for the respondent/State accepts notice and submits that the status report has been filed and the facts

regarding the death of respondent no.5 Mohit@ Miththan Kumar has been verified by the SHO, P.S.: Prasad Nagar, Delhi. Learned ASC further states that the petitioners and respondents are family members i.e. brothers and mother. Learned ASC further states that the petitioners as well as respondents/complainant of this FIR, who are present in the Court have been duly identified by the Investigating Officer of this case.

4. The petitioners and respondents have submitted that they have settled their disputes vide settlement/compromised deed on 30.05.2019.

5. Respondents present in the Court, submits that the dispute between the parties has been amicably resolved. Respondents further submit that now no dispute with petitioners survives and therefore, the proceedings arising out of the FIR in question be brought to an end.

6. Since the dispute stands amicably resolved between the parties, the petition is allowed and FIR No. 0099/2019, under Sections 323/324/34 IPC, registered at police station Prasad Nagar, Delhi and the proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed *qua* petitioners.

7. The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(BRIJESH SETHI)
JUDGE

OCTOBER 30, 2019
savita