

\$~19 & 20

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 4434/2019 & CrI M.A.35083/2019

AKHILESHWAR DAYAL BHARGAVA Petitioner

Through: Mr. Manoj V. George, Ms. Shilpa
Liza George and Mr. K. Panhei,
Adv.

versus

STATE & ORS

..... Respondent

Through: Ms. Rajni Gupta, APP for the State
Mr. Dharmesh Thanai, Adv. for R-2.

+ CRL.M.C. 4435/2019 & CrI. M.A.3509/2019

AKHILESHWAR DAYAL BHARGAVA Petitioner

Through: Mr. Manoj V. George, Ms. Shilpa
Liza George and Mr. K. Panhei,
Adv.

versus

STATE & ORS

..... Respondent

Through: Ms. Rajni Gupta, APP for the State
Mr. Dharmesh Thanai, Adv. for R-2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJNISH BHATNAGAR

ORDER

% **13.12.2019**

It is submitted by the counsel for respondent no.2 that respondent nos. 4 and 5 are Proclaimed Offenders who are also accused in the complaint under section 138 of NI Act. It is further submitted by the counsel for respondent no.2 that the petitioners have

impugned the order dated 6.7.2019, by virtue of which, the learned appellate court had imposed the condition of deposit of 20% of the fine amount, to be deposited within sixty days, which condition is under challenge before this Court. He further submits on instructions from respondent no.2 he is not insisting for the deposit of the said amount as ordered dated 6.7.2019, but prays that the matters be remanded to the trial court for expeditious disposal.

In these circumstances, the matters be remanded back to the trial court, and the appellate court is directed to dispose of the matter as expeditiously as possible, preferably within six weeks, without insisting for the deposit of 20% of the fine amount.

With these directions, the petitions stand disposed of.

Copy of the order be given dasti to counsel for the petitioner as well as counsel for respondent no.2.


RAJNISH BHATNAGAR, J

DECEMBER 13, 2019/ib