

\$~49 to 54, 68, 72 and 73

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 8873/2019 and CM APPL. 36620/2019 (stay)**

ASHA RAM DANDRIAL Petitioner

Through: Mr.Vishal Maan, Advocate.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS. Respondents

Through: Mr.Jitesh Vikram Srivastava with
Mr.Anshuman, Advocates for R1.
Ms.Padma Priya with Ms.Neetika
Sharma, Advocate for R2/NHAI.
Mr.Yeeshu Jain, Standing Counsel
with Ms.Jyoti Tyagi, Advocate for
R3.

+ **W.P.(C) 8874/2019 and CM APPL. 36621/2019 (stay)**

SMT. PURNIMA TYAGI Petitioner

Through: Mr.Vishal Maan, Advocate.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS. Respondents

Through: Mr.Jitesh Vikram Srivastava with
Mr.Anshuman, Advocates for R1.
Ms.Padma Priya with Ms.Neetika
Sharma, Advocate for R2/NHAI.
Mr.Yeeshu Jain, Standing Counsel
with Ms.Jyoti Tyagi, Advocate for
R3.

+ **W.P.(C) 8875/2019 and CM APPL. 36622/2019 (stay)**

SUDARSHAN SINGH RAWAT Petitioner

Through: Mr.Vishal Maan, Advocate.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS. Respondents

Through: Mr.Jitesh Vikram Srivastava with
Mr.Anshuman, Advocates for R1.
Ms.Padma Priya with Ms.Neetika
Sharma, Advocate for R2/NHAI.
Mr.Yeeshu Jain, Standing Counsel
with Ms.Jyoti Tyagi, Advocate for
R3.

+ **W.P.(C) 8876/2019 and CM APPL. 36623/2019 (stay)**

BALWANT SINGH CHAUHAN Petitioner

Through: Mr.Vishal Maan, Advocate.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS. Respondents

Through: Mr.Jitesh Vikram Srivastava with
Mr.Anshuman, Advocates for R1.
Ms.Padma Priya with Ms.Neetika
Sharma, Advocate for R2/NHAI.
Mr.Yeeshu Jain, Standing Counsel
with Ms.Jyoti Tyagi, Advocate for
R3.

+ **W.P.(C) 8877/2019 and CM APPL. 36624/2019 (stay)**

NARAIN SINGH Petitioner

Through: Mr.Vishal Maan, Advocate.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS. Respondents

Through: Mr.Jitesh Vikram Srivastava with

Mr.Anshuman, Advocates for R1.
Ms.Padma Priya with Ms.Neetica
Sharma, Advocate for R2/NHAI.
Mr.Yeeshu Jain, Standing Counsel
with Ms.Jyoti Tyagi, Advocate for
R3.

+ **W.P.(C) 8879/2019 and CM APPL. 36625/2019 (stay)**

GAURAV

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr.Vishal Maan, Advocate.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr.Jitesh Vikram Srivastava with
Mr.Anshuman, Advocates for R1.
Ms.Padma Priya with Ms.Neetica
Sharma, Advocate for R2/NHAI.
Mr.Yeeshu Jain, Standing Counsel
with Ms.Jyoti Tyagi, Advocate for
R3.

+ **W.P.(C) 8893/2019 and CM APPL. 36678/2019 (stay)**

SMT. KUSUM LATA

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr.Vishal Maan, Advocate.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr.Jitesh Vikram Srivastava with
Mr.Anshuman, Advocates for R1.
Ms.Padma Priya with Ms.Neetica
Sharma, Advocate for R2/NHAI.
Mr.Yeeshu Jain, Standing Counsel
with Ms.Jyoti Tyagi, Advocate for
R3.

+ **W.P.(C) 8943/2019 and CM APPL. 36902/2019 (stay)**

ASHOK KUMAR

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr.Vishal Maan, Advocate.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr.Jitesh Vikram Srivastava with
Mr.Anshuman, Advocates for R1.
Ms.Padma Priya with Ms.Neetika
Sharma, Advocate for R2/NHAI.
Mr.Yeeshu Jain, Standing Counsel
with Ms.Jyoti Tyagi, Advocate for
R3.

+ **W.P.(C) 8945/2019 and CM APPL. 36908/2019 (stay)**

LAKHPAL SINGH

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr.Vishal Maan, Advocate.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr.Jitesh Vikram Srivastava with
Mr.Anshuman, Advocates for R1.
Ms.Padma Priya with Ms.Neetika
Sharma, Advocate for R2/NHAI.
Mr.Yeeshu Jain, Standing Counsel
with Ms.Jyoti Tyagi, Advocate for
R3.

CORAM:

JUSTICE S.MURALIDHAR

JUSTICE TALWANT SINGH

%

ORDER

19.08.2019

1. These petitions seek a similar prayer to restrain the Respondents from dispossessing the Petitioners from different plots in Khasra Nos.629, 633 and 634 in Shyam Vihar Phase-I, Z-Block, Najafgarh, New Delhi (hereafter 'the land in question').
2. Admittedly for the acquisition of the land in question, notifications were issued under Section 3A of the National Highways Act, 1956 ('NH Act'). Further the admitted position is that the Petitioners' objections under Section 3C (1) of the NH Act have been rejected by the Competent Authority under Section 3C (2) of the NH Act. The further admitted position is that under Section 3D of NH Act a declaration has now been published on 17th August 2019 where *inter alia* it is stated that interested persons can come forward to make claims for compensation under Section 3G (3) and (4) of the NH Act.
3. It does appear, therefore, that the Respondents have been proceeding in accordance with law thus far. Counsel for the Respondents assure the Court that all further steps will also be taken only in accordance with law.
4. In that view of the matter no further directions are called for. The petitions are disposed of. Pending applications are also disposed of.

S. MURALIDHAR, J.

TALWANT SINGH, J.

AUGUST 19, 2019 / tr