

\$~1.

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C.) No. 8499/2019

UTTAM CHAND NAGPALPetitioner

Through: Mr. D.P. Sharma, Adv.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS.. Respondent

Through: Ms. Bharathi Raju, Adv. for R-1 to R-3.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIPIN SANGHI

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJNISH BHATNAGAR

ORDER

29.11.2019

%

REVIEW PETITION NO. 375/2019

We have heard learned counsels. Learned counsel for the respondent points out that in the tabulation relied upon by the petitioner which reads revised pension/ family pension of pre-2006 pensioners for posts carrying present scales in group “A” “B” “C” & “D”, which is an annexure to OM No. 38/37/08 – P & PW (A), dated 28.01.2013, the petitioner’s case is covered by serial No. 11 which mentions the pay scale with effect from 01.01.1986 as 1640-60-2600-75-2900 (S-10).

The submission of learned counsel for the respondent is that when the petitioner retired on 30.11.1986, he was a Junior Accounts Officer in the pay scale of Rs. 1640-2900/-. This fact is not disputed by the petitioner as well.

In view of the aforesaid, we do not find any reason to interfere with our order dated 07.08.2019.

Dismissed.

VIPIN SANGHI, J

RAJNISH BHATNAGAR, J

NOVEMBER 29, 2019

N.Khanna