

\$~3

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 7686/2019**

KAMAL SINGH

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr.B.K.Pandey and Mr.Umesh
Choudhary, Advocates.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr.Manish Mohan CGSC with Mr.
Aakash Bajpayee, Manish Saroha and
Mr. R.N.Parikh, Advocates for R-1 to
R-4.

CORAM:

JUSTICE S.MURALIDHAR

JUSTICE TALWANT SINGH

ORDER

%

17.09.2019

CM APPL. 31981/2019 (Exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

W.P.(C) 7686/2019

2. The Petitioner is aggrieved on account of his not being sent to Iraq as part of a contingent of personnel of the Central Reserved Police Force ('CRPF') sent by the Government of India.

3. The list of persons to be sent was finalized way back on 9th March, 2019. Admittedly, of the 45 persons so selected, 30 have been sent to Iraq, while the remaining 15, of which the Petitioner is one, have not.

4. Learned counsel for the Respondents pointed out that before the deployment of the contingent, the Ministry of External Affairs (MEA) was asked to send an advance party to assess the requirements and work out the deployment mechanism. After taking stock of the situation, the advance party reported that there is a space constraint in Baghdad and that only 30 personnel could be accommodated. It was accordingly recommended that the deployment would be done in phases.

5. Learned counsel for the Respondent states that the basis for sending the 30 personnel, out of the 45 originally selected, was a rank-wise selection on the basis of seniority in the merit list. He further states that the remaining 15, including the Petitioner, would be dispatched after arrangements for sufficient accommodation is made at Baghdad.

6. In view of the above clarification, no further directions are called for. Accordingly, the petition is disposed of.

S. MURALIDHAR, J.

TALWANT SINGH, J.

SEPTEMBER 17, 2019

mr