

\$~39

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 3302/2019 & CRL.M.A. 30827/2019**

NAVEEN JAIN

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Vijay Gandotra, Advocate with
Petitioner in person.

versus

THE STATE & ANR

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Mukesh Kumar, APP for State
with SI Naeem Ali from Police
Station-Bhajanpura.

Ms. Khushboo, Adv. for R-2 with
respondent no.2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDER SHEKHAR

ORDER

%

11.10.2019

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for quashing of FIR No.653/2018, under Sections 498-A/406/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 ('IPC') & Section 3 & 4 of Dowry Prohibition Act, registered at P.S.: Bhajan Pura, Delhi and the proceedings emanating therefrom.
2. Response by way of affidavit as well as proof of identity stands filed by the respondent No.2.
3. The learned counsel for petitioner as well as respondent No.2 submitted that the parties have settled their disputes on their own free will, without any force or coercion before the Delhi Mediation Centre, Karkardooma Courts, Delhi on 16.01.2019.
4. Respondent No.2, who is present in Court, has reiterated the aforesaid

facts and submitted that the petitioner has to pay the balance amount of Rs.2 lacs to her in terms of the settlement arrived at between the parties. Respondent No.2 further submitted that in case the petitioner makes the payment of the balance amount of Rs.2 lacs to her, she has no objection to the petition being allowed and the FIR being quashed.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has brought two demand drafts bearing No.346551 & 346552 dated 09.07.2019 for an amount of Rs.1 lac each which have been handed over to the respondent No.2 today in the Court. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that in view of the payment of the balance amount to the respondent No.2, the present petition may be allowed and the FIR may be quashed.

6. The Investigating Officer ('IO'), who is present in Court, has identified the petitioner as well as respondent No.2 and has also verified the settlement arrived at between the parties.

7. In view of the aforesaid circumstances and the settlement arrived at between the parties, this Court is of the view that no fruitful purpose would be served in keeping the parties entangled in the criminal proceedings. Accordingly, in the interest of justice, FIR No. 653/2018, under Sections 498-A/406/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 ('IPC') & Section 3 & 4 of Dowry Prohibition Act, registered at P.S.: Bhajanpura, Delhi and the proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

8. Petition is disposed of in above terms.

CHANDER SHEKHAR, J

OCTOBER 11, 2019/ssc