

\$~39

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CONT.CAS(C) 579/2019**

SUJOY SONKAR ROY BURMAN Petitioner

Through: Mr. Arjun Harkauli, Adv. with
Mr. Anshuman Gargesh &
Ms. Arunima Bishnoi, Advs.

versus

RAJIV CHOPRA

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Tarkeshwar Nath, Adv. with
Mr. B.P. Panday, Mr. Mahavir Rawat
& Mr. Rohit Prasad Gaur, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V. KAMESWAR RAO

ORDER

%

20.08.2019

The only grievance that subsist in the contempt petition is that an amount of Rs.4,79,311/- has been withheld and not paid by the respondent.

Mr. Tarkeshwar Nath, Adv. who appears for the respondent states that the said amount has not been paid to the petitioner for the reason of excess payment.

Mr. Harkauli concedes to the fact that the withholding of the amount of Rs.4,79,311/- has been challenged by the petitioner in a separate writ petition. If that be so, the present contempt petition is closed. Liberty shall be with the petitioner to seek appropriate remedy in accordance with law, after the decision in the writ petition filed by the petitioner.

V. KAMESWAR RAO, J

AUGUST 20, 2019/ak