

\$~1 & 2

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 1474/2019 & CrI.M.A.No.12471/2019**

JASBIR SINGH

..... Petitioner

Represented by: Ms.Malvika Trivedi and Mr.Nipun
Katyal, Advocates

versus

STATE

..... Respondent

Represented by: Ms.Rajni Gupta, APP for the State
with Insp.Madan Mohan, PS Maurya
Enclave

+ **BAIL APPLN. 1475/2019 & CrI.M.A.No.12474//2019**

JASBIR SINGH

..... Petitioner

Represented by: Ms.Malvika Trivedi and Mr.Nipun
Katyal, Advocates

versus

THE STATE OF NCT OF DELHI

..... Respondent

Represented by: Ms.Rajni Gupta, APP for the State
with Si Sandeep Shrivastava, PS
Bharat Nagar

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER

04.07.2019

%

1. By these two petitions, petitioner seeks bail in case FIR No.402/2018
registered at PS Maurya Enclave under Sections 420/467/468/271/34 IPC

BAIL APPLN. 1474/2019

5

and FIR No.317/2017 under Sections 454/448/511/365/380/387/419/420/467/471/468/34 IPC.

2. The allegations against the petitioner in FIR No.317/2017 registered at PS Maurya Enclave relating to Bail Appn.No.1475/2019 are that one Mohit Sachdeva complained that on the morning of 30th July, 2017, he received a call from a family friend stating that somebody has broken locks of the gate of his property at DU-13, Pitam Pura and tried to trespass. Despite the fact that two guards were at the gate, they were forcibly driven far off in a car, forced and pushed by two people and later the guards were dropped far off. The complainant and his brother reached the spot where they saw 10-12 people led by a lady taking out some of their belongings lying there with the intention to trespass the property. While leaving, they saw that the trespassers had put two boards, one of Advocate namely Avinash Pandey on the walls of the property. When the police reached the spot they left the spot.

3. During investigation it was revealed that Ranjeet Kaur, the lady in front had prepared forged documents of the said property and on the strength of those forged document sold the same to Gurpreet Kaur, wife of Manu Behl. Despite efforts, Ranjeet Kaur could not be arrested and non-bailable warrants were issued against her and later she was declared a proclaimed offender. The petitioner came into picture in the said FIR for the reason electricity and telephone bills of the said property had been changed to the name of the petitioner namely Jasbir Singh, son of Amarjeet Singh. It was again revealed that on the strength of one document i.e. GPA of the property of the complainant, the amendments were carried out. The signatures on the

6

documents pursuant whereto electricity and telephone bills were changed into the name of the petitioner have been sent to FSL and the report thereon is still awaited.

4. While investigation in FIR No.317/2017 registered at PS Maurya Enclave going on, another complaint was received on which FIR No.402/2018 was registered on 11th October, 2018 under Sections 420/34 IPC at PS Maurya Enclave. The complainant Pravesh Kumar Garg in the said complaint stated that the petitioner in connivance with Pawan Kundra agreed to part with the ownership of the property in consideration whereof a sum of Rs.26,00,000/- was transferred by way of a cheque to the petitioner's account and Rs.49,30,000/- was given in cash though the complainant therein could not show any receipts of the cash amounts. In the interregnum one FIR No.75/2018 was also registered at PS Janipur, Jammu under Sections 419/420/467/468/120-B/34 IPC on the complaint of Mohit Sachdeva. FSL Report in FIR No.402/2018 registered at PS Maurya Enclave is also awaited.

5. The petitioner is in custody since 25th August, 2018. Necessary documents have been collected and sent to the FSL. Investigation from the petitioner has already been conducted.

6. Considering the fact that the petitioner has been in custody now for nearly 11 months and the trial is likely to take sometime, this Court deems it fit to grant bail to the petitioner. It is, therefore, directed that the petitioner be released on bail in the abovenoted two FIRs, on his furnishing a personal bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/- in each of the case with one surety in each of the FIR subject to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court. It is further

7

clarified that the petitioner will not leave the country without the prior permission of the Court concerned and in case of change of residential address, the same will be intimated to the Court concerned by way of an affidavit.

7. Petitions and applications are disposed of.

8. Order dasti.


MUKTA GUPTA, J.

JULY 04, 2019

mamta