

\$~14

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 1631/2019**

SHRI RAJENDER CHAWLA Petitioner
Through **Mr. Raj Kumar, Adv.**

versus

THE STATE & ANR Respondents
Through **Ms. Richa Kapoor, ASC with**
Ms. Saloni Jain & Mr. Chaitanya
Bansal, Advs for State
ASI Hawa Singh, PS Moti Nagar
Mr. Vikas Sharma, Adv for R-2 with
R-2 in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BRIJESH SETHI

ORDER

%

20.09.2019

The present petition has been instituted under section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No.68/2017 registered under Sections 287/337/338 IPC at police station Moti Nagar.

Learned ASC for the State submits that the respondent no.2 was working as a labour under the employment of the petitioner at Chawla Sons Factory, Plot No.54/B7, Rama Road Indl. Area, Moti Nagar and on 17.02.2017, the respondent no.2 got injury on his finger while working in the said factory which led to registration of the aforementioned FIR.

The petitioner and respondent no.2 are present in the Court along with their respective counsels and have been identified by the investigating officer.

W.P.(CRL) 1631/2019

P-1/2

It is submitted by the learned counsel for the parties that the parties have settled their dispute amicably in terms of Compromise Deed dated 09.04.2019, copy whereof is on record. He further submits that though in terms of the settlement, the petitioner has to pay a sum of Rs.22,000/- to the respondent no.2, he has, however, undertaken now to pay Rs.50,000/- towards all the claims of the respondent no.2. It has also been informed to the Court that the respondent no.2 is still under the employment of the petitioner and will continue to work as a 'Helper' in the factory of the petitioner.

The respondent no.2 admits having voluntarily entered into settlement with the petitioner and further submits that the expenses for his medical treatment were borne by the petitioner. He further states that in view of the settlement, he has no objection if the aforementioned FIR is quashed.

In view of the above submissions coupled with the fact that the petitioner has undertaken to continue the employment of respondent no.2 as 'Helper' in his factory, no fruitful purpose would be served in continuing criminal proceedings against the petitioners. Accordingly, the aforementioned FIR and consequential proceedings thereof, are hereby quashed subject to payment of Rs.50,000/- to the respondent no.2 within two days from today.

The petition stands disposed of.

BRIJESH SETHI, J

SEPTEMBER 20, 2019

sm