

\$~53

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CRL.M.C. 2607/2019**

RAJPAL & ORS.

..... Petitioners

Through: Mr. Shyam Kumar & Mr. S.K.
Dayal, Advocates

versus

STATE & ANR.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Panna Lal Sharma, APP
with SI Sumit, PS: Jyoti Nagar,
Delhi
Ms. Priya Sharma, Advocate
for respondent No.2

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDER SHEKHAR

ORDER

%

15.05.2019

CRL.M.A.10442/2019

Allowed, subject to all just exceptions. The application is disposed of.

CRL.M.C. 2607/2019

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that petitioner No.1 is no more.
2. The petitioners have filed the present petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for quashing of FIR No.78/2014, under Sections 498-A/406/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 ('IPC'), registered at P.S.: Jyoti Nagar, Delhi and the proceedings emanating therefrom.

3. The petitioners and respondent No.2 as well as their respective counsel submitted that the parties have settled their disputes on their own free will, without any force or coercion before the Delhi Mediation Centre, Karkardooma Courts on 23.10.2018, in terms whereof petitioner No.1 had agreed to pay Rs.1,80,000/- to the respondent No.2. It is further submitted that out of Rs.1,80,000/-, an amount of Rs.80,000/- has already been paid to respondent No.2.

4. Respondent No.2, who is present in Court, has reiterated the aforesaid facts and submitted that she has no objection to the petition being allowed and the FIR being quashed in case the petitioners pay the balance amount of Rs.1,00,000/- to her, which is required to be paid as per the settlement arrived at between the parties.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners have brought a demand draft bearing No.186609, drawn on Allahabad Bank, for an amount of Rs.1,00,000/-, which has been handed over to the respondent No.2 today in the Court.

6. The Investigating Officer ('IO'), who is present in Court, has identified the petitioners as well as respondent No.2 and has also verified the settlement arrived at between the parties.

7. In view of the aforesaid circumstances, the settlement arrived at between the parties and the dissolution of marriage, this Court is of the view that no fruitful purpose would be

served in keeping the parties entangled in the criminal proceedings. Accordingly, in the interest of justice, FIR No. 78/2014, under Sections 498-A/406/34 of the IPC, registered at P.S.: Jyoti Nagar, Delhi and the proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

8. Petition is disposed of in above terms.

CHANDER SHEKHAR, J

MAY 15, 2019

tp