

(A)

\$~29

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **O.M.P.(MISC.)(COMM.) 184/2019**

AIR FORCE NAVAL HOUSING BOARD Petitioner
Through **Mr. Yoginder Handoo, Adv.**

versus

OMAXE INFRASTRUCTURE & CONSTRUCTIONS LTD.

..... Respondent
Through **Mr. M.K. Ghosh, Ms. Tina Garg and
Mr. Rohit Dutta, Adv.**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SHAKDHER

ORDER

% **06.05.2019**

I.A. 6611/2019

1. Allowed, subject to just exceptions.

O.M.P.(MISC.)(COMM.) 184/2019

2. Issue notice.

2.1 Mr. Ghosh, advocate, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent.

3. Learned counsel for the respondent says that he does not wish to file a reply as he has no objection to the prayer made in the petition.

4. The record shows that the learned Arbitrator entered upon reference on 10.11.2017. The record also shows that parties mutually agreed to extend time by a period of six months beyond the statutory

O.M.P.(MISC.)(COMM.) 184/2019


Page 1 of 2

2

period of 12 months on 27.10.2018. The extended period is, therefore, coming to an end on 9.5.2019.

5. Learned counsel for the parties inform me that the matter is at the stage of final arguments.

6. Accordingly, having regard to the time and money invested by the parties, I am inclined to extend time for concluding arbitration proceedings and for pronouncement of the award by a further period of six months commencing from 10.5.2019.



RAJIV SHAKDHER, J

MAY 06, 2019

rb