

\$~4

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 1163/2019**

RAKESH SARAHA

..... Petitioner

Through Mr. Prateek Yadav, Advocate

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS

..... Respondents

Through Mr. Ajay Dignpaul, CGSC for
UOI/Respondent No.1
Mr. Rahul Mehra, Standing Counsel
(Crl.) with Mr. Chaitanya Gosain,
Advocate
Mr. Anil Grover, SPP alongwith Mr.
Mishal Vij for CBI

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SIDDHARTH MRIDUL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BRIJESH SETHI

ORDER

%

04.07.2019

Having heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties, we hereby direct that, the representation for premature release made by the petitioner, be considered afresh, in its next meeting, by the Sentence Reviewing Board, strictly in accordance with law and the decision of this court in case titled “**Sushil Kumar Sharma vs. State**”, WP (Crl.) 3798/2018 decided on 21.12.2018; without being influenced, in any manner, by the decisions taken by the competent authority rejecting the earlier representations made by the petitioner seeking premature release.

With the above directions the petition is accordingly allowed and disposed of.

Needless to state that the petitioner is at liberty to assail the decision to be taken by the competent authority in relation to the consideration of his application for premature release, if aggrieved thereby, in accordance with law.

SIDDHARTH MRIDUL, J

BRIJESH SETHI, J

JULY 04, 2019

mk