

\$~6 & 7

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ BAIL APPLN. 734/2019

PRASHANT TANWAR Petitioner

Through: Mr. Kirti Uppal, Sr. Adv. with
Mr. Surender Kumar and
Mr. Aditya Awasthi, Advs.

versus

THE STATE (NCT OF DELHI) Respondent

Through: Mr. Raghuvinder Verma, APP
with SI Amit, PS Moti Nagar,
Delhi

+ BAIL APPLN. 988/2019

GAURAV TANWAR & ORS Petitioners

Through: Mr. Kirti Uppal, Sr. Adv. with
Mr. Surender Kumar and
Mr. Aditya Awasthi, Advs.

versus

THE STATE (NCT OF DELHI) Respondent

Through: Mr. Raghuvinder Verma, APP
with SI Amit, PS Moti Nagar,
Delhi

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDER SHEKHAR

ORDER

%

07.08.2019

1. Fresh status report stands filed.
2. Learned APP for the State, on the query of the Court, on instructions, submitted that the petitioners have joined the

4

investigation. Learned APP further submitted that on the last date of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioners produced CCTV footage of the said incident in which complainant was seen on the spot but no quarrel had taken place and the CCTV footage of the incident was analyzed by the concerned Investigating Officer (IO) and the spot was also visited by the IO for verifying the facts.

3. Learned APP, on instructions, further submitted that the statements of eye witnesses have been recorded and they stated that the complainant injured himself voluntarily on the day of the incident. Learned APP further submitted that the petitioners are not required for custodial interrogation.

4. In view of the aforesaid facts, the anticipatory bail applications of the accused are allowed and it is directed that in case of the arrest of the petitioners, they be released on bail subject to their furnishing personal bonds in the sum of Rs.25,000/- each with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of SHO/IO. The petitioners shall co-operate and join the investigation as and when called by the SHO/IO in writing. Petitioners shall also not prejudice the investigation, tamper with the evidence or influence the witnesses in any manner.

5. Accordingly, the anticipatory bail applications stand disposed of.

6. It is clarified that this order shall not tantamount to expression of any opinion on the merits of the case.


CHANDER SHEKHAR, J

AUGUST 07, 2019/rk