

\$~33 & 34

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

33

+

W.P.(C) 3882/2019

RENUKA SARKAR AND ORS.

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Ankur Chhibber, Advocate

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS.

..... Respondent

Through: Ms. Barkha Babbar, Advocate for
UOI

34

+

W.P.(C) 9116/2019

SUJATA NATUA

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Ankur Chhibber, Advocate

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS.

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Jayant Bhatt, Mr. Umang Verma,
Advocates for respondents.
Mr. Om Prakash, G.P. with Ms.
Akansha Jain, Advocate for UOI

CORAM:

JUSTICE S.MURALIDHAR

JUSTICE TALWANT SINGH

ORDER

19.11.2019

%

Signature Not Verified

Signing Date: 29.10.2024 16:13:34
Certify that the digital and physical file have
been compared and the digital data is as per
the physical file and no page is missing.

5


1. Ms. Barkha Babbar, learned counsel for the respondent, on instructions in the form of a Signal dated 14th November 2019, states that the Respondents have decided not to file a Special Leave Petition against the decision of this court in *Kalawati Thakur and others v. Union of India and Anr.* (decision dated 11.03.2018 in W.P. (C) No.6094/2018).

2. The Court is satisfied that the present petitions are squarely covered by the aforementioned decision.

3. Accordingly, the petitions are allowed and a direction is issued to the Respondents to promote the Petitioners to the rank of Assistant Inspectors and Assistant Commands from the date their immediate juniors have been granted promotion as shown in the revised promotion list by the Respondent in compliance with the judgement of the Supreme Court in *Union of India v. Bilju A. T. and others* (decision dated 16th October, 2014 in CA Nos. 9840-41 of 2014) with consequential benefits of pay fixation etc. The necessary orders be issued within twelve weeks from today.

4. The writ petitions are disposed of in the aforesaid terms.


S. MURALIDHAR, J.


TALWANT SINGH, J.

NOVEMBER 19, 2019/pkb