

\$~38

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 3819/2019**

S. S. AND COMPANY THROUGH ITS PROP./ ATTORNEY

SH. ANIL KUMAR ARORA Petitioner

Through Mr M.A. Khar, Advocate and Mr Pradeep
Kumar Kesana, Advocate.

versus

GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI AND ORS.

..... Respondents

Through

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIBHU BAKHRU

ORDER

%

12.04.2019

CM APPL. 17406/2019

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The application is disposed of.

W.P.(C) 3819/2019

3. It is seen that there is a serious inconsistency regarding the identity of the petitioner. At some place it is stated that the petitioner is a company, and at another place it is described as the sole proprietorship Anil Kumar Arora. It is also averred that the petitioner has filed the present petition through its power of attorney holder. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner now states that the petitioner was a firm which was dissolved.
4. In view of the varying inconsistent stands, the present petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to file a proper petition clearly

disclosing the identity and the nature of the petitioner. Plainly, if the petitioner is a sole proprietorship concern, the petition is to be filed by the sole proprietor.

VIBHU BAKHRU, J

APRIL 12, 2019

pkv