

\$~1.

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 3687/2019**

RAJESH KUMAR

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Romil Pathak, Mr. Vinay
Bhardwaj & Ms.Kavita Rawat,
Advocates.

versus

DEPARTMENT OF POST OFFICE

..... Respondent

Through: Ms. Kaadambari & Ms. Mansi,
Advocates.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIPIN SANGHI

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE REKHA PALLI

ORDER

24.04.2019

%

1. The petitioner assails the order dated 11.09.2018 passed by the Central Administrative Tribunal, Principal Bench, New Delhi (CAT/ Tribunal) in O.A. No.4511/2017. The Tribunal has rejected the said Original Application of the petitioner, wherein he pressed his claim for compassionate appointment.

2. The petitioner's father died in harness on 06.07.2002. The petitioner sought compassionate appointment in the year 2004, which was rejected in the same year. His representation was finally rejected on 31.01.2004. He made another application for compassionate appointment on 09.09.2017, which too was rejected on 10.10.2017. He then approached the Tribunal by

filing the Original Application, which too had been rejected by the Tribunal.

3. Compassionate appointment is not a regular source of recruitment. It is provided as immediate succour to the family of a Government servant which loses its bread-winner. The petitioner's application had initially been rejected in the year 2004. There was no impending financial urgency to grant him compassionate appointment.

4. In these circumstances, the fresh application preferred by him in the year 2017 and the Original Application preferred by him upon rejection of the said application, were completely misplaced.

5. Dismissed.

VIPIN SANGHI, J

REKHA PALLI, J

APRIL 24, 2019

B.S. Rohella