

\$~12

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **FAO(OS) (COMM) 64/2019 & C.M. No. 14390/2019**

NAMRATA GOYAL Appellant

Through: **Mr.Mohit Prasad, Advocate**

versus

M/S PRET STUDY BY JANAK FASHION PVT LTD.

..... Respondent

Through: **Counsel appearance not given**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIPIN SANGHI

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV NARULA

ORDER

%

25.11.2019

1. We have heard learned counsel for the parties.
2. Learned counsel for the respondent, without prejudice to the rights and contentions of the respondent, states that the respondent shall remove the goods from the godown of the appellant within two weeks. The only reason given for not removing the said goods by the respondent is that the goods as reported by the Local Commissioner were deficient compared to the goods supplied to the appellant.
3. In our view, even if aforesaid reason is true, it could not be a ground for the respondent not to remove the goods. We therefore, permit the respondent to remove the goods within two weeks. In case goods are not so moved, it shall be open to the appellant to dispose of the goods at the risk of the

respondent.

4. With the aforesaid terms, the appeal and the application stand disposed of.

VIPIN SANGHI, J

SANJEEV NARULA, J

NOVEMBER 25, 2019

v