

\$~18

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 2892/2019**

PUSHPENDRA SINGH PARNAMI

..... Petitioner

Through Ms.Aarushi Agarwal, Adv.
versus

**DELHI SUBORDINATE SERVICES SELECTION BOARD
(DSSSB) AND ANR.**

..... Respondent

Through Ms.Avnish Ahlawat, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIPIN SANGHI

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE REKHA PALLI

ORDER

%

25.03.2019

At the outset, we notice that the petitioner has not produced on record the detailed order passed by the Tribunal on 18.02.2019. The impugned order placed on record, merely states “dismissed at the admission stage itself. Detailed order typed separately”.

Counsel for the respondent, who appears on advance notice tenders in Court a copy of the detailed order dated 18.02.2019 passed by the Central Administrative Tribunal, New Delhi in O.A.No.549/2019 preferred by the petitioner. The same is taken on record.

The petitioner, who is a scheduled caste candidate, participated in the written examination held by the Delhi Subordinate Services Selection Board (DSSSB) for the post of PGT(History). He was declared successful in the result, which was displayed on the website of the DSSSB. The petitioner, however, did not respond to the said notification and did not upload his e-dossiers within the permitted

time. He claims that he became aware of the result only in January, 2019 when he sought to upload his e-dossiers, which was not accepted, since the last date for doing the same was already over on 16.09.2018.

The submission of the petitioner is that he belongs to a very remote area in the State of Rajasthan and due to lack of internet connectivity and his illness he could not learn about the result declared by the DSSSB on its website. We are unable to accept this submission. The petitioner while applying for the post of PGT (History) was well aware that the result of the written examination would be uploaded by the DSSSB on its website and it was for the petitioner to track the same and to respond in terms of the advertisement issued by the respondent.

Having missed the bus, he cannot be permitted to submit his documents/e-dossiers after the cut-off date. If such relaxation were to be granted to one candidate, it would be discriminatory in respect of others, who may have similarly missed the bus and this would render the entire process undertaken by the DSSSB as open ended.

In view of the aforesaid, we find no merit in the present petition and the same is dismissed.

VIPIN SANGHI, J

REKHA PALLI, J

MARCH 25, 2019

sr