

\$~56 & 57

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ BAIL APPLN. 724/2019, CRL.M.(BAIL) 519/2019 &
CRL.M.A.6021/2019
SIMMI JAIN Petitioner

Through: Mr. Tanmay Mehta, Mr. Vineet
Chadha and Mr. Ajay Kumar,
Advs.

versus

STATE Respondent

Through: Mr.Ashish Dutta, APP with SI
Vijay Kumar, PS Burari, Delhi

+ BAIL APPLN. 731/2019, CRL.M.(BAIL) 521/2019 &
CRL.M.A. 6050/2019
NARESH JAIN Petitioner

Through: Mr. Tanmay Mehta, Mr. Vineet
Chadha and Mr. Ajay Kumar,
Advs.

versus

STATE Respondent

Through: Mr.Ashish Dutta, APP with SI
Vijay Kumar, PS Burari, Delhi

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDER SHEKHAR

ORDER

%

26.03.2019

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the Trial Court is not disposing of the applications filed by the petitioners under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (Cr.P.C.) on merits and simply adjourning the matter on the ground that two other

(C)

matters are pending before the High Court. It is further submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioners that his only grievance is that the Trial Court should consider and dispose of the applications of the petitioners on merits.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners, after some arguments, further submitted that he may be allowed to withdraw the anticipatory bail applications with a direction to the Trial Court to consider and dispose of the applications of the petitioners under Section 438 of the Cr.P.C. on merits without waiting for the outcome of the two petitions pending before the High Court. Accordingly, the anticipatory bail applications are dismissed as withdrawn with a direction to the Trial Court to consider and dispose of the applications of the petitioners under Section 438 of the Cr.P.C. pending before it on merits, at the earliest.

CHANDER SHEKHAR, J

MARCH 26, 2019/rk